

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		(0/0
09/237,860	01/27/1999	TOYOHIKO KUMAKURA	50032-150	6969
20277	590 12/12/2001 FT WILL & EMERY		EXAMINER	
600 13TH STR			CUNEO, KAMAND	
WINIM	• ·, ·		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2841	
			DATE MAILED: 12/12/2001	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

9/237860
SURIAL NUMBER TRING DATE FRIST NAMED APPLICALT AT ORNEY LUCI ET NO. PAPER NUMBER

> Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION				
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:				
a) A is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection				
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.				
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.				
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).				
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 17/5/01 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:				
The proposed amendments to the claim and /cr specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:				
a F There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented				
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).				
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).				
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.				
e. They present additional claims without claims a corresponding number of finally rejected claims				
NOTE:				
2. Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.				
Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:				
Claims allowed:				
Claims rejected:				
However; Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):				
4. The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because				
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.				
The proposed drawing correction 🔲 has 🖾 has not been approved by the examiner.	4			
Other The substitute spec 13 not entered and spec	`			
The proposed drawing correction has has not been approved by the examiner. Other The substitute species not entired and spec Minema objected to.				